



TIPS & TOOLS

MEAT STANDARDS
AUSTRALIA

Using the MSA Index to optimise beef eating quality

What is the MSA Index?

The MSA Index is a single number and standard national measure of the predicted eating quality and potential merit of a carcass.

The MSA Index is a number between 30 to 80, expressed to two decimal places (ie 54.62), to represent the eating quality potential of a whole carcass. The MSA Index is independent of any processing inputs and is calculated using only attributes influenced by pre-slaughter production. It is a consistent benchmark, which can be used across all processors, geographic regions and over time. It reflects the impact on eating quality of management, environmental and genetic differences between cattle at the point of slaughter.

How is the MSA Index calculated?

The MSA Model predicts the eating quality of 39 primals in a carcass using the measurements collected by accredited MSA graders.

MSA eating quality scores are the combination of tenderness, juiciness, flavour and overall liking of beef. The MSA Index is a weighted average of these scores for the 39 MSA primals for the most common corresponding cooking method. It is not a yield measurement.

The MSA Index is a tool to be used by producers and lot feeders. Inputs in the MSA model controlled by the processor, for example hang method, days aged, ultimate pH (within the acceptable range), and loin temperature are set as default values. The MSA Index is calculated for Achilles hung carcasses with 5 days ageing.

A carcass with a higher MSA Index will have higher beef eating quality scores for many cuts compared to a lower MSA Index carcass. The changes in eating quality of individual muscles will depend upon the different combinations of carcass inputs affecting cuts in different ways. This is why the MSA Index is a measure of the average eating quality of the whole carcass.

Key points

- The MSA Index is a weighted average of the predicted MSA eating quality scores (MQ4) of 39 MSA primal and sub-primal cuts in a carcass.
- The MSA Index is a number between 30 to 80, expressed to two decimal places.
- It is a tool that producers and lot feeders can use to benchmark the impact of genetic and management interventions on eating quality, across time periods.
- Producers can monitor changes in eating quality between slaughter groups, seasons and years.
- It also provides a useful national and regional benchmark for beef eating quality, across time and seasons so changes in beef eating quality can be monitored.

Why is the MSA Index useful?

Producers are able to access MSA feedback for individual carcass traits including carcass weight, rib fat, MSA marbling score, ossification score, HGP status, hump height and sex. However it is difficult to assess the importance of these individual traits on eating quality and how changes in breeding and genetics or management decisions impact on the eating quality of the carcass. The MSA Index combines the impact of all these inputs and allows producers to evaluate changes in their business, to drive a faster rate of gain in eating quality.

With the goal to improve eating quality for the consumer, the producer and lot feeder are faced with how to economically improve eating quality and the MSA Index through genetics and management interventions.

Do I have to do anything different on farm?

Producers are not required to do anything different on farm to prepare cattle and consign them for MSA. The MSA Index forms a feedback tool to monitor the changes that have occurred in the past as well as make predictions about future changes and how this will impact on the eating quality of your cattle.

What impacts on the MSA Index?

The key factors impacting on eating quality influenced by the producer are:

- Tropical breed content (TBC), determined by hump height measurement
- MSA marbling score
- Ossification score
- Hormonal Growth Promotant (HGP) status
- Milk-fed vealer category
- Saleyard status.

These inputs have a very high or high impact on the MSA Index of a carcass (Table 1). The magnitude of effects shown in Table 1 are an indication only, as the relative importance of the different traits in changing the MSA Index will vary slightly for each producer.

Table 1: Tropical breed content for various cattle breeds

Carcass input	Size of effect on the MSA Index (units)	Clarification of effect	Relative importance of these traits in changing the MSA Index*
HGP status	5	The MSA Index of carcasses with no HGP implant is around 5 Index units higher	Very High
Milk-fed vealer	4	The MSA Index of milk fed vealer carcasses is around 4 index units higher	Very High
Saleyard	5	Carcasses which were consigned directly to slaughter and NOT processed through a saleyard have an MSA Index around 5 index units higher	Very High
MSA marbling	0.15	As MSA marbling score increases by 10, the MSA Index increases by around 0.15 index units	High
Hump height (for cattle greater than 0% TBC)**	-0.7	As hump height increases by 10mm, the MSA Index decreases by around 0.7 units In carcasses which have no TBC, hump height has no impact on MSA Index	High
Ossification score	0.6	As ossification score decreases by 10, the MSA Index increases by 0.6 index units	High
Rib fat	0.1	As rib fat increases by 1 mm, the MSA Index increases by 0.1 index units	Low
Hot standard carcass weight (HSCW)	0.01	As HSCW increases by 1kg, the MSA Index increases by <0.01 index units	Low
Sex	0.3	With low ossification values, females have a higher index value than steers by around 0.3 index units	Low

The values presented in Table 1 are the average effect calculated for 2.8 million carcasses across all states of Australia.

* Relative importance indicates the size of effect changing that trait will have on the MSA Index within a herd, if all other traits remained the same. Some traits may have a large impact but are difficult for a producer to alter.

** Hump height can be used in conjunction with carcass weight as the determinant or verification of TBC during MSA grading.

Using the size of effects from Table 1, producers can estimate how much their MSA Index will change as a result of changes in genetic or management interventions.

Using the MSA Index to generate change

The MSA Index will allow processors to benchmark their suppliers by evaluating the eating quality of the carcasses that they purchase. Producers can change the MSA Index of their carcasses to ensure they supply carcasses of the desired eating quality for a processor.

Table 2 provides an example of changes made by a producer to supply cattle to a new market, which required cattle to be heavier at the same age with more marbling.

Increase marbling – To increase marbling through genetic management, producers can purchase sires with higher Estimated Breeding Values (EBVs) for Intramuscular Fat (IMF%) to increase marbling in their progeny. Ensuring animals are finished on a high plane of nutrition prior to slaughter will also aid in ensuring marbling is developed.

An increase in MSA marbling of 20 points equates to an actual IMF % increase of around 0.4%. The sire of carcass 2 would need an IMF% EBV of around 0.8% higher than the sire of carcass 1 to see an increase of 20 MSA marbling points in their progeny.

Table 2: The impact of livestock production changes on the MSA Index.

Trait	Carcass 1	Carcass 2	Change in MSA Index
Carcass weight (kg)	290	330	+0.24
MSA marbling	320	340	+ 0.33
Ossification score	150	140	+0.60
TBC (%)	0	0	0
Hump height (mm)	60	60	0
Rib Fat (mm)	6	10	+0.52
Sex	M	M	0
HGP	No	No	0
Milk-fed vealer	No	No	0
Saleyard	No	No	0
MSA Index	59.99	61.68	+1.69

Increased carcass weight and rib fat depth – To achieve heavier carcasses at the same maturity (ossification), producers could use sires with higher 200, 400 and 600-day weight EBVs and/ or increase the nutritional value of feed to enhance the growth rate of the animals. If positive genetic selection pressure was placed on IMF and on rib and rump fat EBVs, then heavier carcasses will also be fatter at the rib site. Improving nutrition to increase growth may also increase carcass fatness.

How to access the MSA Index

Producers can access MSA Index values for carcasses in the online feedback system, myMSA at www.mymrsa.com.au.

In addition to accessing carcass feedback reports as soon as the grader has uploaded data, producers also have access to a suite of sophisticated reports and tools.

myMSA offers producers the ability to:

- access MSA graphs for key grading attributes,
- access MSA non-compliance and company specification non-compliance reports,
- create customisable reports to look at grading performance and compliance over time and/or for multiple datasets,
- download data to use in excel, or import into farm software programs,
- use the MSA Index calculator to determine the potential change in eating quality with on-farm management changes,
- benchmark the performance of consignments against the average of your region, state or nationally and by selecting for feed type, hormonal growth promotant (HGP) status, sex and ossification score.



Scan to use the MSA Index mobile calculator

Or go to www.mymrsa.com.au/msamobile on your mobile device

Further information

Visit www.mla.com.au/msa or contact MSA 1800 111 672



Level 1, 40 Mount Street,
North Sydney NSW 2060
P: 1800 023 100
mla.com.au

Care is taken to ensure the accuracy of the information contained in this publication. However, MLA cannot accept responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of the information or opinions contained in the publication. You should make your own enquiries before making decisions concerning your interests. MLA accepts no liability for any losses incurred if you rely solely on this publication and excludes all liability as a result of reliance by any person on such information or advice. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, all rights are expressly reserved. Requests for further authorisation should be directed to the Content Manager, PO Box 1961, North Sydney, NSW 2059 or info@mla.com.au. © Meat & Livestock Australia 2022 ABN 39 081 678 364. Published in November 2022. MLA acknowledges the matching funds provided by the Australian Government to support the research and development detailed in this publication.