

final report

Project Code: B.ENV.0026

Prepared by: John Hayden Logan

Date published: January 2008

PUBLISHED BY Meat and Livestock Australia Limited Locked Bag 991 NORTH SYDNEY NSW 2059

Landleader Report - Industry Overview

Meat & Livestock Australia acknowledges the matching funds provided by the Australian Government to support the research and development detailed in this publication.

This publication is published by Meat & Livestock Australia Limited ABN 39 081 678 364 (MLA). Care is taken to ensure the accuracy of the information contained in this publication. However MLA cannot accept responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of the information or opinions contained in the publication. You should make your own enquiries before making decisions concerning your interests. Reproduction in whole or in part of this publication is prohibited without prior written consent of MLA.

Landleader Report - Industry Overview

Overview

Recently, Australian Wool Innovation and MLA through their joint Landleader project undertook a trial survey of 76 producers in regard to their current environmental and stock management practices.

This data has now been amalgamated and is reported here.

Because of the trial nature of the project, the results listed here do not represent a random and representative overview of the Australian grazing industry's environmental and livestock management practices. However, it does show the sort of information that could become available should the project continue.

In this report, where applicable, the number of producers and corresponding hectares are compared against industry "best practice." However, it must be noted that Best Practice can vary from region to region and enterprise to enterprise, therefore, those comparisons should be considered as indicative only.

Individual producers who have completed this survey during the Landleader trials have been provided with a confidential and customised Report which we hope will provide them with greater impedance to adopt enhanced environmental and stock management practices. Those Reports provide guidance for where to obtain more information as well as training through programs such as the AWI / MLA Making More from Sheep (MMFS) Best Practice Program.

Base for all % is n=76.

1. General Survey Data

| Item | Number of producers | Hectares | Animal numbers |
|---|---------------------|------------|-------------------|
| Database for this Report | <u>76</u> | 129,691 Ha | |
| Total number of adult sheep covered by this survey (2006) | | | 105,112 |
| Total number of adult cattle covered by this survey (2006) | | | 7,461 |
| Number of land managers seeking advice / support from Regional NRM bodies | 57 | 75% | |

2. Planning on Broadacre Properties

| Item | Number of Producers | Hectares | Percentage of Total Producers Surveyed |
|---|---------------------|----------|---|
| Organic producers | 4 | На | 5% |
| Biodynamic producers | 0 | На | 0% |
| Operate a formal Quality Assurance system | 9 | На | 12% |
| Operate a formal Environmental Management System | 13 | На | 17% |
| Have a documented farm plan | 54 | На | 71% |
| Have a physical property plan, aerial photo or map | 53 | На | 98% |
| Record some environmental indicators | 73 | На | 96% |

3. Cropping Practices

| Item | Number of Producers | Hectares | Percentage of Total Producers with Crop |
|---|---------------------|-----------|--|
| Total number of producers and area cropped | 34 | 11,466 Ha | 48% |
| Tillage - No Tillage | 22 | 7,706 Ha | 30% |
| Tillage - Minimum Tillage | 8 | 1,617 Ha | 11% |
| Tillage - Conventional Tillage | 11 | 3,861 Ha | 14% |
| Tillage - Other | 3 | 472 Ha | 4% |
| Stubble - no treatment – sown into a standing stubble | 4 | 1,675 Ha | 5% |
| Stubble - grazing only | 19 | 3,401 Ha | 25% |
| Stubble - grazing, then stubble removed but not burnt (mown, baled) | 5 | 1,870 Ha | 7% |
| Stubble - stubble removed but not burnt (mown, baled) | 4 | 1,388 Ha | 5% |
| Stubble – grazing then light stubble removal and burnt | 6 | 1,690 Ha | 8% |
| Stubble – grazing then heavy stubble removal (hot burn) | 2 | 138 Ha | 3% |
| Stubble – heavy stubble removal (hot burn) | 1 | 12 Ha | 1% |

4. Pasture management (Simon to apply Ha calculations)

| Item | Number of producers | Hectares | Percentage of Total Producers Surveyed |
|---|---------------------|----------|---|
| introduced Perennial pasture species | 43 | На | 57% |
| introduced Annual pasture species | 18 | На | 24% |
| a mixture of introduced Perennial & annual pasture species | 36 | На | 47% |
| Native & naturalised pastures | 44 | На | 58% |
| A mixture of introduced, native and naturalised pastures | 35 | На | 46% |
| Average most recent pasture | 26 | 2006 Yr | 34% |
| renovation | 17 | 2007 Yr | 22% |
| Assess pastures for species composition and quality | 41 | | 54% |
| Adjust stocking rates to protect native pasture composition | 43 | | 57% |
| Adjust stocking rates to protect introduced pasture | 53 | | 70% |
| Operate integrated weed management | 55 | | 72% |
| Operate integrated pest management | 45 | | 59% |
| Grazing system – Set stocking | 17 | | 22% |
| Grazing system – Rotational | 26 | | 34% |
| Grazing system – Cell grazing | 10 | | 13% |
| Grazing system – Rotational based on time | 7 | | 9% |
| Grazing system – Rotational based on pasture growth | 49 | | 64% |

5. Soil Management & Fertiliser Practices

| Item | Number of Producers | Hectares | Percentage of Total Producers Surveyed |
|--|--------------------------|--|---|
| Manage land according to soil capability | 76 | 87,037 Ha | 100% |
| Conduct soil or plant tissue tests | 65 | | 86% |
| Apply fertiliser (P) | 66 | На | 87% |
| Units of P applied / ha on pasture | 25 | Mean 13.01 Units/Ha | 33% |
| Use decision support tools to determine fertiliser application rate | 5 | | 7% |
| Conduct pH tests | 63 | | 83% |
| Work towards Implementing solutions for problem soils | | На | % |
| Maintain groundcover to protect the soil (Q4.20) | 71 | На | 93% |
| Manage land according to soil capability | | На | % |
| Properties affected by salinity | 30 | На | 39% |
| Implementing practices to reduce the impact of salinity control stock access revegetation planting of trees water use efficiency engineering solutions | 18 15 17 6 9 | 2,372 Ha 390 Ha 514 Ha 218 Ha 43K ms | 24% 20% 22% 8% 12% |
| | | | |

6. Native Vegetation and Biodiversity

| Item | Number of producers | Total Hectares | Percentage of Total Producers with Native Vegetation |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| Properties with native vegetation (bush) | 74 | 78,858 Ha | 97% |
| Properties where stock access is controlled (eg fencing) in native vegetation (e.g. bush) | 46 | 48,937 Ha | 60% |
| Properties where native vegetation is never grazed | 37 | 8,165 Ha | 49% |
| Have a plan for the retention, restoration and revegetation of native vegetation | 66 | На | 87% |
| Actively control weeds in native vegetation areas | 50 | На | 66% |
| Have revegetated or planted wind breaks / wildlife corridors | 34 | На | 45% |
| Assess the condition of the native vegetation | 67 | Ha | 88% |
| Properties where revegetation undertaken in 2005/06 | 32 | 7,271 Ha | 42% |
| Properties where revegetation undertaken since 1990 | 46 | 2,543 Ha | 61% |
| Average survival rate of revegetation | | | 73% |

7 Rivers & Riparian Management

| Item | Number of producers | Kilometres | Percentage of Total Producers with Watercourses |
|--|---------------------|----------------------------|---|
| Properties with rivers, creeks and water ways | 54 | 551 Kms | 71% |
| Stock access is controlled for rivers & riparian areas | 27 | 101 Kms | 36% |
| The condition of the rivers, creeks and watercourses are regularly assessed | 50 | Kms | 66% |
| Revegetating riparian areas – Natural Revegetation Tube stock/seedlings Direct Seeding | 24 24 13 | 83 Kms 44 Kms 14 Kms | 32% 32% 17% |
| Properties with eroding gullies | 57 | 443 Kms | 72% |
| Properties managing gully erosion | 31 | 53 Kms | 41% |
| Properties with wetlands | 21 | 1,513 Ha | 28% |
| Properties where stock access is controlled for wetlands | | Kms | % |
| Properties with plans for improvement to riparian areas and wetlands | 29 | | 38% |

8. Producer's Future Intentions

| Item | Number of producers | Percentage of Total producers |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | |
| Producers intending to develop future activities in relation to enhanced environmental practices | | % |
| Producers intending to develop future activities in relation to enhanced livestock management | | % |
| | | |

9.Livestock management

| Item | Number of producers | Percentage of Total producers |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Understand and adopt the Code of Practice for animal welfare (Aware Q8.1) | 66 | 87% |
| Stock are closely monitored and maintained in appropriate body condition to achieve production and welfare targets | | % |
| Annual nutritional requirements of stock are matched with available feed supply | | % |
| Stock have adequate supply of good quality water | 72 | 96% |
| Stock are provided with protection from the elements - especially at critical times such as lambing / calving and shearing | 66 | 87% |
| Stock handling facilities are well-designed and maintained (Good Q8.15) | 54 | 71% |
| A preventative health management program is implemented (Q8.11) | | % |
| Flock is monitored for disease and health problems and corrective action taken where needed | | % |
| Number of sheep producers who vaccinate lambs | 51 | 67% |
| Number of sheep producers who vaccinate adult sheep | 42 | 55% |
| Number of sheep producers who mules | 35 | 46% |
| Number of sheep producers who treat for blowfly control | 56 | 73% |
| Number of sheep producers who drench for worms | 61 | 80% |
| Number of sheep producers who treat for lice | 50 | 65% |
| Number of cattle producers who vaccinate calves | 36 | 47% |
| Number of cattle producers who vaccinate adult breeding cattle | 25 | 32% |
| Number of cattle producers who drench for worms | 46 | 61% |
| Number of cattle producers who treat for lice | 35 | 46% |

10. Chemical use on farm

a) Producer approach to chemicals

| Item | Number of producers | Percentage of Total producers |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Producer approach to chemicals - Never use chemicals | 6 | 8% |
| Producer approach to chemicals - Use chemicals as little as possible, because I prefer to minimise their use | 37 | 49% |
| Producer approach to chemicals - Use chemicals infrequently, because my management doesn't generally require them | 15 | 20% |
| Producer approach to chemicals - Use chemicals as part of an integrated pest management plan. (ie time shearing etc to minimise chemical requirements) | 22 | 29% |
| Producer approach to chemicals - Use chemicals quite often and I comply with label recommendations | 18 | 24% |

b) Producers who use chemicals on farm

| Item | Number of producers | Percentage of Total Producers who use Chemicals |
|---|---------------------|---|
| Securely store chemicals (if applicable) | 36 | 47% |
| Document the purchase and use of all chemicals and animal health products | 50 | 66% |
| Wear protective clothing when using chemicals | 74 | 97% |
| Use – (Livestock Q9.8) appropriate and calibrated equipment recognize withholding periods | 55 49 | 72% 64% |
| Safely dispose of unwanted chemicals and containers | | % |
| Undergone chemical training and certification (if applicable) | 65 | 86% |
| Property complies with the requirements for the European Union Eco Label (if applicable) | 12 | 16% |