

# final report

Project Code: B.ENV.0030

Prepared by: Brad Searle Hyder Consulting

Date published: November 2007

PUBLISHED BY Meat and Livestock Australia Limited Locked Bag 991 NORTH SYDNEY NSW 2059

# Developing a business case for monitoring natural resources on farm

Meat & Livestock Australia acknowledges the matching funds provided by the Australian Government to support the research and development detailed in this publication.

This publication is published by Meat & Livestock Australia Limited ABN 39 081 678 364 (MLA). Care is taken to ensure the accuracy of the information contained in this publication. However MLA cannot accept responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of the information or opinions contained in the publication. You should make your own enquiries before making decisions concerning your interests. Reproduction in whole or in part of this publication is prohibited without prior written consent of MLA.

# Abstract

Hyder Consulting were contracted by Meat and Livestock Australia (MLA) in August 2007 to assess the business case for on-farm monitoring. This project aims to provide the foundation for a MLA communications initiative (under its education and extension program) to promote the benefits of monitoring on-farm natural resource condition.

Key conclusions which can be drawn from this study include:

- Monitoring of natural resources is integral for ensuring effective on-farm planning and decision making.
- A diversity of tools, ranging from the simple to the sophisticated, are currently used by producers for on-farm monitoring of natural resource condition. However, the adoption of these tools is largely dependent upon their ability to create business benefits in on-farm environments which are typically resource constrained.
- Internal drivers for on-farm monitoring of natural resources include the potential for reducing production costs and risks, increasing asset values and productivity and provision of alternative income streams.
- External drivers for on-farm monitoring of natural resources include ensuring compliance with environmental regulatory requirements and meeting public expectations regarding the production of food.
- Further research is required to accurately quantify benefits associated with on-farm monitoring. The findings of this research may be used to develop a more compelling business case for on-farm monitoring of natural resources.

## **Executive Summary**

Monitoring on-farm natural resources is increasingly recognised as essential to achieving sustainable land and water management. Data on the condition of soil, water and vegetation (including native and improved pastures), on farm can enable producers to take a more fact-based approach to on-farm decision making. Many landholders undertake adhoc monitoring through daily observations relating to changes in natural resource condition on their property. However, the majority of land managers do not undertake any formal monitoring or apply consistent methods for monitoring or document their observations. This lack of systematically recorded monitoring data for on-farm resource condition is a gap in the farm management.

This project aims to provide the foundation for a MLA communications initiative (under its education and extension program) to promote the benefits of monitoring on-farm natural resource condition. It builds upon a project finalised by Hyder involving a national evaluation of on-farm natural resource monitoring tools.

Hyder undertook extensive consultation with a number of large pastoral companies and smaller agricultural enterprises to identify red meat producers who were currently monitoring on-farm natural resource condition. Over 125 producers were contacted by Hyder and 50 producers were sent a survey, including questions related to determining business benefits associated with on-farm monitoring. Eight case studies were selected from the completed surveys which highlighted a range of business benefits associated with on-farm monitoring of natural resources. These benefits can be categorised according to internal business drivers and external business drivers.

Key conclusions which can be drawn from this study include:

- Monitoring of natural resources is integral for ensuring effective on-farm planning and decision making.
- A diversity of tools, ranging from the simple to the sophisticated, are currently used by producers for on-farm monitoring of natural resource condition. However, the adoption of these tools is largely dependent upon their ability to create business benefits in on-farm environments which are typically resource constrained.
- Internal drivers for on-farm monitoring of natural resources include the potential for reducing production costs and risks, increasing asset values and productivity and provision of alternative income streams.
- External drivers for on-farm monitoring of natural resources include ensuring compliance with environmental regulatory requirements and meeting public expectations regarding the production of food.
- Further research is required to accurately quantify benefits associated with on-farm monitoring. The findings of this research may be used to develop a more compelling business case for on-farm monitoring of natural resources.

# Contents

|              |      | Page  |    |
|--------------|------|---|----|
| 1            |      | Background5   |    |
| 2            |      | Project Objectives5   |    |
| 3            |      | Methodology6  |    |
| 3.1          |      | Consultations with producers, government agencies,<br>industry groups and research institutions |    |
| 3.2<br>3.3   |      | Interview Case Study Participants6<br>Preparation of theoretical business case7                 |    |
| 4            |      | Results and Discussion7   |    |
| 4.1          |      | Internal Business Drivers7  |    |
| 4.1.1        |      | Reducing production costs7  |    |
| 4.1.2        |      | Maintaining and improving on-farm natural resource condition and long term productivity7        |    |
| 4.1.3        |      | Identifying and managing risk9  |    |
| 4.1.4        |      | Factual approach to decision-making9  |    |
| 4.1.5        |      | Access to additional markets9   |    |
| 4.1.6        |      | Potential for diversification of income10   |    |
| 4.1.7<br>4.2 |      | Improving property values10<br>External Business Drivers10                                      |    |
| 4.2.1        |      | Compliance with environmental regulatory requirements10   |    |
| 4.2.2        |      | Compliance with environmental management systems<br>and quality assurance schemes10             |    |
| 4.2.3        |      | Public sentiment11  |    |
| 5            |      | Conclusions and Recommendations12   |    |
| 6            |      | Bibliography13  |    |
| 7            |      | Appendices14  |    |
| 7.1<br>7.2   | Land | Appendix 1 – Key Contacts14<br>Appendix 2 – Survey template31<br>holder interview questions     | 31 |
| 7.3          |      | Appendix 3 – Completed Case Studies   |    |

# 1 Background

Effective planning is a fundamental aspect of any business and farm businesses are no exception. Monitoring natural resources is critical to identify emerging issues and also to measure progress against business goals. Following the establishment of baseline information regarding on-farm natural resources which have strong linkages to the production process, monitoring enables producers to determine if their management actions are depleting or restoring the natural capital on their property. Evidence from successful producers shows that monitoring on-farm natural resources can play an important role in boosting agricultural productivity. Monitoring is also likely to become more important as Australian producers need to demonstrate responsible environmental management.

Motivating factors as to why a producer may decide to monitor on-farm natural resources can be categorised according to internal and external drivers (Grodeki *et al.*, 2004). Internal drivers for monitoring on-farm natural resources primarily relate to improving management. External drivers include environmental management systems, quality assurance systems, international food markets, national and state legislation and strategies, regional bodies and industry organisations.

Internal drivers arise from a landholders business circumstances and include factors such as reducing operating costs, maintaining and improving on-farm natural resource condition and long term productivity, identifying and managing risk, providing access to alternative markets and improving the value of the land asset. External drivers include meeting regulatory requirements, and industry and market standards, as well as regional and industry expectations.

Hyder has recently completed a project commissioned by MLA to identify the tools available to assist rural producers monitor natural resource condition. These tools have been produced by a wide variety of organisations with an interest in improving natural resource management (NRM) on agricultural lands. Some of these tools have been developed and promoted by MLA.

As part of its education and extension program, MLA now intends to design and implement a communication initiative to promote a compelling business case for monitoring natural resource condition on farm. This consultancy aims to develop a business case for on-farm natural resource monitoring which will underpin a communications initiative. This consultancy has also been designed to compliment and contribute to a separate consultancy (contracted to Sefton and Associates) which will produce eight case studies highlighting the benefits of monitoring natural resource condition.

# 2 **Project Objectives**

The project objectives for this project were initially:

1. Develop a compelling business case for on-farm monitoring of natural resources via developing 6 case studies.

During delivery of the project the project objectives were modified by MLA to:

1. Identify eight red meat producers who are successfully monitoring natural resource condition on farm;

- 2. Provide details of red-meat producers successful undertaking on-farm monitoring of natural resource to Sefton and Associates; and
- 3. Build a theoretical case for monitoring natural resource condition on farm that will augment the case studies prepared by Sefton and Associates.

# 3 Methodology

# 3.1 Consultations with producers, government agencies, industry groups and research institutions

Hyder consulted approximately 125 stakeholders involved in the development and/or the application of on-farm natural resource monitoring tools. A detailed list of all contacts is provided in Appendix 7.1. Hyder used its established network of contacts and the organisations involved in the recent national evaluation of NRM tools for MLA, including:

- Australian red meat producers;
- Australian state government agriculture agencies, e.g. Department of Primary Industries Queensland, Victoria and NSW;
- Regional organisations involved in the delivery of NRM;
- Research organisations such as universities, CSIRO and Grains Research and Development Corporation; and
- Landcare co-ordinators.

Hyder liaised with large pastoral companies with a track record in natural resource monitoring and dedicated staff for this purpose such as Stanbroke Pastoral Company, the Australian Agricultural Company, Heytsbury and Kidman and Co. Hyder also ensured that smaller agricultural enterprises were also included by contacting key individuals such as Dr Richard Price, the program manager for the Grain and Graze Program. The consultation process identified 50 red-meat producers who are currently undertaking natural resource monitoring.

#### 3.2 Interview Case Study Participants

Hyder developed a survey to assist with the structured collection of data and sent it to the 50 red meat producers identified during the consultation process. A copy of the survey template is provided in Appendix 7.2. The survey collected the following information;

- Name of property where tool/s currently applied;
- Number of months/years which tool/s has been used;
- Natural resources currently monitored;
- Frequency of application of tool;
- Process for management of data;
- How have management practices changed as a result of tool application; and
- Benefits to production process and evidence to support use of tool.

Nine surveys were completed by a cross section of producers. Survey results were entered into a Microsoft Access database. Hyder under the direction of MLA were requested to pass these project materials on to Sefton and Associates to enable them to deliver against their case study deliverables (authorised under a separate contract with MLA and to focus on delivering a theoretical business case for on-farm monitoring of natural resources. This direction was provided following interim analysis of the completed survey's where it became evident that information to support the development of a compelling business case (using case studies alone) was not readily available.

#### 3.3 **Preparation of theoretical business case**

A theoretical business case for on-farm monitoring of natural resources was compiled using information collated from literature and discussions with producers and representatives from industry, government and research institutions. The report highlighted key internal and external driver business benefits associated with on-farm monitoring of natural resources where benefits were highlighted using examples from the producer surveys.

### 4 Results and Discussion

#### 4.1 Internal Business Drivers

#### 4.1.1 Reducing production costs

Monitoring natural resource condition provides producers with important information for assessing the appropriateness of current management practices. For example, monitoring soil condition indicators such as nutrients, organic matter and acidity, can help to verify the effectiveness of fertiliser application regimes. This can lead to reduced capital and operational costs.

"Monitoring soil condition has enabled us to gain a detailed understanding over time of the various soil types on our property which has enabled us to significantly reduce the application of traditional soil fertilisers. This has produced significant cost savings."

Gilgai, Western NSW producer

# 4.1.2 Maintaining and improving on-farm natural resource condition and long term productivity

Maintaining good resource condition is essential to maintaining the long term productivity of any property. Long-term productivity is influence by a range of natural resources including; soil fertility, soil structure, soil biota, pasture composition (including weeds), pest and disease burdens. Monitoring of these natural resources may enable producers to protect and improve long-term farm productivity.

Monitoring tools such as Stocktake provide producers with critical information regarding the effects of grazing on pasture composition. Information such as this can assist producers to determine whether their current practices are degrading or enhancing the natural resource capital on their property.

*"Monitoring available pasture reserves combined with rainfall forecasts enables us to project potential landscape impacts under different stocking scenarios. This approach has yielded* 

improved productivity through enabling cows to retain better body condition which has in turn resulted in improved weaning rates."

Wandoan, Qld producer

#### 4.1.3 Identifying and managing risk

Monitoring on-farm natural resources can assist producers to better idenfity and manage onfarm risks. Monitoring can be helpful in detecting imbalances in soil, plants and animal indicators so that management actions can be taken to minimise risks such as weed and pest.outbreaks. Monitoring groundcover provides another example of where monitoring can alleviate production and erosion risks.

"We have the ability to better understand the impact of stocking rates and grazing according to land type. That means we can make more informed decisions about things like strategic positioning of fence lines, which will ultimately improve soil and pastures."

Western Queensland producer

#### 4.1.4 Factual approach to decision-making

Monitoring can provide an improved spatial understanding of on-farm variability in resource condition, promoting more targeted management actions at property scale. This information can lead to a greater awareness of changes in critical natural resource assets, which can be assessed against historical climate data and management actions. Monitoring can be used to determine if current enterprise types are appropriately suited to farm land and soil types, which helps optimise the enterprise mix and increase profitability. For example, only a proportion of a property may be suited to high input, improved pasture systems, while other areas of the property may be suited to lower input, native pastures. Robust monitoring significant changes to current practices.

"Whilst the monitoring does not have a huge impact on day-to-day management, the goal is to build a body of information which will affect management over time. This all leads to better decisions made by station managers to maintain and increase land condition as well as a greater awareness of natural resources."

North and Western Queensland producer

#### 4.1.5 Access to additional markets

Certain markets favour agricultural products that have been grown in an environmentally friendly manner – organic or free range meat, and chemical-free fibres, such as wool, are now commonly marketed at premium prices. For example, the UK leading supermarket chains now supply a significant proportion of their food lines as certified organic produce. This is no longer viewed as a niche market and is growing at 40 per cent per year (Grodeki et al., 2004). Australian primary producers are also becoming increasingly aware that one of the competitive advantages they can develop is a 'clean and green' image and label.

"AACo's investment in monitoring brings a number of returns. Its branding of 1824 Premium Beef as a 'green' product can be backed up with facts and it can charge market premiums for its products to capitalise on the traceability and sustainability of its production system."

North and Western Queensland producer

#### 4.1.6 Potential for diversification of income

Red meat production businesses are significantly exposed to both national and international market forces, as well as climatic variability and impacts. On-farm monitoring may provide a useful catalyst for producers to seek to enhance or supplement their business income from sources other than conventional farming production through diversifying their business activities. For example, monitoring information for indicators such as organic soil matter and soil nutrients may provide producers with information to consider new entrepreneurial initiatives such as locking up areas of a property for promotion of biodiversity and carbon sequestration. Emerging eco-service industries such as carbon sequestration, biodiversity protection and improving water quality in urban catchments, may require monitoring systems to be in place to ensure that eco-services are being generated.

"Long term improvements in on-farm biodiversity, aided by monitoring has provided us with natural capital worthy of alternative future enterprises such as education and nature tourism farm stays. This reduces our dependency on cattle money when stock numbers are reduced in accordance with seasonal conditions".

Queensland producer

#### 4.1.7 Improving property values

A systematic record of historical monitoring information can indirectly influence property asset values. Information on the health of on-farm natural resources recorded over time can provide valuers and potential purchasers with a detailed account of the status of natural capital. It can provide information on how well a property has been managed over the long term.

#### 4.2 External Business Drivers

#### 4.2.1 Compliance with environmental regulatory requirements

On-farm production systems have been exposed to increasing levels of environmental regulatory requirements in recent years. Key examples of federal legislation include Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 and the Water Act 2007. Monitoring provides the necessary information to demonstrate compliance with land management obligations outlined in legislation. Strategies such as the Queensland Rural Leasehold Strategy (2003) also include requirements for land managers to assess their potential environmental impacts and to monitor property natural resource condition so that management activities are adopted which minimise these impacts (Grodeki et al., 2004).

"Monitoring provides us with the necessary information to demonstrate compliance with regulations and forces State Agencies to be more objective in their decision making".

South Australia, Queensland and Western Australia producer

# 4.2.2 Compliance with environmental management systems and quality assurance schemes

Potential environmental impacts arising from on-farm management practices has resulted in governments, industry associations and some land managers supporting the adoption the ISO 1400 Environmental Management System approach or other quality assurance schemes. An example of a relevant EMS for meat producers is the AEMS EMS, a tool for producers to measure and monitor environmental management. Monitoring information is critical for

demonstrating continuous improvement in minimising environmental impacts and risks associated with agricultural production.

"You have to have hard evidence to know if you're going forward," says Neville. "We now pay much closer attention to maintaining ground cover and preventing land degradation. It's been a learning process."

Rockhampton producer

#### 4.2.3 Public sentiment

Natural resource monitoring information can be used to demonstrate sound environmental management practices. As environmental stewards, producer's management practices directly influence the natural resource base upon which they are dependent. Increasingly the community is questioning these management practices across the agricultural sector. The Ipsos Report (Ipsos Pty Ltd, 2006) found that agricultural issues such as salinity, biodiversity loss and soil erosion were beginning to attract the attention of the Australian community. The participants interviewed during the Ipsos market research stated that the lack of information regarding the sustainability of agriculture, created doubts and negative perceptions in the minds of government policy makers and opinion leaders. Natural resource monitoring information can be used to substantiate good management practices and build greater credibility in the agricultural sector.

"Monitoring gives us hard data if we need to justify our land management decisions to outside interests. If you don't have evidence to back you up then people can assume the worst."

Western Queensland producer

## **5** Conclusions and Recommendations

Key conclusions which can be drawn from this study include:

- Monitoring of natural resources is integral for ensuring effective on-farm planning and decision making.
- A diversity of tools, ranging from the simple to the sophisticated, are currently used by producers for on-farm monitoring of natural resource condition. However, the take up of these tools is largely dependent upon their ability to create business benefits in on-farm environments which are typically resource constrained.
- Internal drivers for on-farm monitoring of natural resources include the potential for reducing production costs and risks, increasing asset values and productivity and provision of alternative income streams.
- External drivers for on-farm monitoring of natural resources include ensuring compliance with environmental regulatory requirements and environmental management and quality assurance systems and meeting public expectations regarding the production of food.
- Further research is required to accurately quantify benefits associated with on-farm monitoring. The findings of this research may be used to develop a more compelling business case for on-farm monitoring of natural resources.

# 6 Bibliography

Grodecki A, Hey K and Gardiner. D (2004). *A landholder's monitoring guide for sustainable natural resource management practice.* Department of Natural Resources Mines and Energy, Indooroopilly, Qld Australia.

Ipsos Pty Ltd (2006). Environmental stewardship requirements for Australian Broadacre farmers. Farm Policy Journal. Vol.3 November 20

# 7 Appendices

### 7.1 Appendix 1 – Key Contacts

| Organisation  | Case Study<br>Available? (Y) |
|---|------------------------------|
| CSIRO Centre for Sustainable Ecosystems - Brisbane      |                              |
| CSIRO Centre for Sustainable Ecosystems - Canberra      |                              |
| CSIRO Centre for Sustainable Ecosystems - Alice Springs |                              |

| Organisation   | Case Study<br>Available? (Y) |
|--|------------------------------|
| Centre Landwatch                                       |                              |
| Dept of Agriculture WA                                 |                              |
| CSIRO  |                              |
| Victorian Department of Primary Industries             |                              |
| CSIRO  |                              |
| Rural Industries Research and Development Corp (RIRDC) |                              |
| Grains Research and Development Corporation (GRDC)     |                              |
| Queensland Department of Primary Industries            |                              |
| NSW Department of Primary Industries                   |                              |
| Queensland Department of Natural Resources and Mines   |                              |
| NT Department of Primary Industries, Fisheries & Mines |                              |

| Organisation   | Case Study<br>Available? (Y) |
|--|------------------------------|
| NT Department of Infrastructure Planning and Environment                 |                              |
| NT Department of Natural Resources, Environment and the Arts             |                              |
| Tropical Savanna Co-operative Research Centre, Darwin                    |                              |
| Western Australian Department of Agriculture                             |                              |
| Department of Enironment & Heritage                                      |                              |
| South Australian Department of Primary Industries and Resources          |                              |
| Land and Water Australia   |                              |
| Dairy Australia  |                              |
| Grain and Graze  |                              |
| South Australian Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity Conservation |                              |
| National Dryland Salinity Program  |                              |
| National Program for Sustainable Irrigation                              |                              |
| Tasmanian Department of Primary Industries Water and Environment         |                              |
| Tasmanian Institute of Agricultural Research                             |                              |
| Victorian Department of Sustainability and Environment                   |                              |
| Western Australian Department of Conservation and Land Management        |                              |
| The Co-Operative Research Centre for Beef                                |                              |

| Organisation   | Case Study<br>Available? (Y) |
|--|------------------------------|
| The Co-Operative Research Centre for Weed Management |                              |
| Greening Australia                                   |                              |
| Mallee Sustainable Farming Inc                       |                              |
| Natural Resources Commission                         |                              |
| Northern Rivers CMA                                  |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - NSW Bushcare     |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - NSW Landcare     |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - NSW Rivercare    |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - Vic Bushcare     |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - Vic Landcare     |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - Vic Rivercare    |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - QLD Biodiversity |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - QLD Bushcare     |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - QLD Rivercare    |                              |

| Organisation                                      | Case Study<br>Available? (Y) |
|---|------------------------------|
| Australian Government Facilitator - SA Bushcare   |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - SA Landcare   |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - SA Rivercare  |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - WA Bushcare   |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - WA Landcare   |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - WA Rivercare  |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - Tas Bushcare  |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - Tas Landcare  |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - Tas Rivercare |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - NT Bushcare   |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - NT Landcare   |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - NT Rivercare  |                              |

| Organisation  | Case Study<br>Available? (Y) |
|---|------------------------------|
| Australian Government Facilitator - ACT Bushcare and Landcare |                              |
| Australian Government Facilitator - ACT Rivercare             |                              |
| Border Rivers-Gwydir - NSW                                    |                              |
| Border Rivers-Gwydir- NSW                                     |                              |
| Central West- NSW   |                              |
| Hawkesbury-Nepean- NSW  |                              |
| Hunter-Central Rivers- NSW                                    |                              |
| Hunter-Central Rivers- NSW                                    |                              |
| Hunter-Central Rivers- NSW                                    |                              |
| Lachlan- NSW  |                              |
| Lower Murray Darling- NSW                                     |                              |
| Murray- NSW   |                              |
| Murrumbidgee- NSW   |                              |
| Northern Rivers- NSW  |                              |
| Northern Rivers- NSW  |                              |

| Organisation         | Case Study<br>Available? (Y) |
|----------------------|------------------------------|
| Northern Rivers- NSW |                              |
| Southern Rivers- NSW |                              |
| Southern Rivers- NSW |                              |
| Sydney Metro- NSW    |                              |
| Sydney Metro- NSW    |                              |
| Western- NSW         |                              |
| East Gippsland- Vic  |                              |
| Glenelg-Hopkins- Vic |                              |
| Goulburn Broken- Vic |                              |
| Mallee- Vic          |                              |
| North Central- Vic   |                              |

| Organisation                        | Case Study<br>Available? (Y) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| North East- Vic                     |                              |
| Port Phillip and Westernport- Vic   |                              |
| West Gippsland- Vic                 |                              |
| Wimmera- Vic                        |                              |
| Border Rivers Maranoa-Balonne - Qld |                              |
| Burdekin- Qld                       |                              |
| Burnett-Mary- Qld                   |                              |
| Cape York- Qld                      |                              |
| Condamine- Qld                      |                              |
| Desert Channels- Qld                |                              |
| Fitzroy- Qld                        |                              |
| Northern Gulf- Qld                  |                              |
| South-East QLD                      |                              |
| Southern Gulf- Qld                  |                              |
| South-West QLD                      |                              |
| Alinytjara Wilurara - SA            |                              |

| Organisation  | Case Study<br>Available? (Y) |
|---|------------------------------|
| Eyre Peninsula- SA  |                              |
| Kangaroo Island- SA   |                              |
| Adelaide and Mt Lofty Ranges- SA  |                              |
| Adelaide and Mt Lofty Ranges- SA  |                              |
| Senior Project Officer, Mallee & Burra Water Resources<br>SA Murray-Darling Basin NRM Board |                              |
| South Australian Murray Darling Basin- SA   |                              |
| Northern & Yorke- SA  |                              |
| South Australian Arid Lands- SA   |                              |
| South East- SA  |                              |
| Avon - WA   |                              |
| Northern Agricultural - WA  |                              |
| Rangelands (Northern) - WA  |                              |
| Rangelands (Southern) - WA  |                              |

| Organisation             | Case Study<br>Available? (Y) |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| South Coast - WA         |                              |
| South West - WA          |                              |
| Swan - WA                |                              |
| North- Tas               |                              |
| North-West - Tas         |                              |
| Alice Springs - NT       |                              |
| Alice Springs - NT       |                              |
| Darwin - NT              |                              |
| Katherine-NT             |                              |
| ACT - ACT                |                              |
| Land and Water Australia |                              |
| Heytesbury               | Y                            |
| S.Kidman and Co          | Y                            |

| Organisation                                     | Case Study<br>Available? (Y) |
|--|------------------------------|
| Stanbroke  | Y                            |
| Victoria River District Conservation Association |                              |
| Consolidated Pastoral Company                    |                              |
| Traprock Wool Association                        | Υ -                          |

| Organisation  | Case Study<br>Available? (Y) |
|---|------------------------------|
| Lyndavale Station (Southern Beef Producers)                 |                              |
| Lilla Creek and New Crown Station (Southern Beef Producers) |                              |
| Narwietooma Station   |                              |
| Yamba Station, Alice Springs                                |                              |
| Gippsland Natural Beef                                      |                              |
| Livestock Officer   |                              |
| NRCMA Contractor (Upper Clarence)                           |                              |
| Jigsaw Farms  |                              |
| "Stoneleigh" Ando via Bombala                               |                              |
| "South Bukalong" Bombala                                    |                              |
| Pathways to EMS   |                              |

| Organisation   | Case Study<br>Available? (Y) |
|--|------------------------------|
| Grasscheck   |                              |
| Stocktake  |                              |
|  |                              |
| Woodgreen Station, Alice Springs                                   | Y                            |
| Gilgai' Geurie NSW   | Y                            |
| RCS Hassall  |                              |
| Australian Agricultural Company                                    | Y                            |
| Pinetrees', Delungra, NSW  |                              |
| Consultant   |                              |
| Queensland Water and Land Carers Inc (QWaLC)                       |                              |
| VegMachine Participant   | Y                            |
| coordinator Brigalow Jimbour Landcare Group 'Glenoak',<br>Brigalow |                              |

| Organisation  | Case Study<br>Available? (Y) |
|---|------------------------------|
| 'Bellevue' Millmerran                               |                              |
| Dept. Primary Industry, Fisheries and Mines         |                              |
| Dept of Natural Resources, Environment and the Arts |                              |
| NT Cattleman' Association (NTCA                     |                              |
| Grazing Land Management Officers                    |                              |
| Grazing Land Management Officers                    |                              |
| King Island NRM                                     |                              |

| Organisation                                    | Case Study<br>Available? (Y) |
|---|------------------------------|
| Joint Program Leader, Red Meat Targets          |                              |
| sheep graziers in the Burra area                | Y                            |
| Bega Cheese                                     |                              |
| Australian Wool Innovation Limited              |                              |
| North Central CMA Regional Landcare Coordinator |                              |
| DPI Kyabram                                     |                              |

| Organisation   | Case Study<br>Available? (Y) |
|--|------------------------------|
| Manager Community Partnerships & Projects RMIT<br>University |                              |
| producer at Wandoan, Qld                                     | Y                            |
| "Fortuna' Aramac Qld   | Y                            |
| Melrose' Rockhampton   | Y                            |
| Dukes Plains' Theodore                                       |                              |
| near Moura, Qld  |                              |
| near Baralaba, Qld   |                              |
| Elton Downs' Hughenden                                       |                              |
| Naringla', Yeoval  |                              |

| Organisation       | Case Study<br>Available? (Y) |
|--------------------|------------------------------|
| Lake View', Uralla |                              |

#### 7.2 Appendix 2 – Survey template

Landholder interview questions

Date and time:

Contact:

- 1) Name of the property where the tool(s) is currently applied
- 2) Name of tool
- 3) Description of Tool(s)
- 4) Number of months/years which the tool(s) has been used
- 5) Natural resources which are currently monitored:
- 6) Frequency of application of tool
- 7) Processes used for management of data
- 8) How have management practices changed as a result of tool application?
- 9) Examples of benefits to production process and evidence to support use of tool i.e.:
  Improved productivity
  - Reduced costs
  - Increased natural resource security (access to water land, grazing rights)
  - Diversifying income (environmental services, new enterprises)
  - Reducing production risk (i.e. reduced erosion)
  - Opening of niche markets (ecolabeling)
  - Market access (environmental trade barriers)

#### 7.3 Appendix 3 – Completed Case Studies

# **Case Study Details**

Case Study Name Number 1

> Size of Property 2550ha

Livestock Production Type Wool, Beef, Mutton and Lamb

#### Name of Tool(s)

Holistic Resource Management; Natural Sequence Farming Principles Cell Grazing; Advance Sowing techniques; Pasture Cropping and No Kill Till; Pastures from Space; Soil Food Web

#### **Description of Tool**

Utilisation of a comination of simple natural resource monitoring techiques e.g. monitoring soil condition and sophisticated monitoring techniques involving use of remote sensing for quantification of pasture biomass.

Months of application

43 months

#### Natural resources monitored

Water cycles, mineral cycles, energy cycles, native flora and fauna. Land Function Analysis ex David Tongway

#### Frequency of use

Every day

#### **Data Management Process**

'Paddock Action Management QA Plus' software with mapping, pastures from space and individual animal records.; 'Quickchecks' ecology monitoring with Vanguard Business Services; Land Function Analysis with David Tongway and Peter Ampt from FATE program

#### **Changes in management practice**

Complete reversal from conventional agriculture techniques

#### **Benefits**

Improved productvity, reduced production costs, reducing production risk

**Case Study Name** 

Number 2

Size of Property Variable Livestock Production Type Beef

Name of Tool(s) GRASSCheck (modified)

#### **Description of Tool**

It is basically an AACo version of GRASSCheck

#### Months of application

Pasture monitoring undertaken anually on all properties since 2000

#### Natural resources monitored

Waterways/riparian areas, pastures, soil, vegetation, special value areas, rainfall

#### Frequency of use

Annually, with movement to bi-annually for some tools.

#### Data Management Process

Collation and analysis of data at central point (i.e. rangelands manager, rangelands officers)

#### **Changes in management practice**

Better decisions can be made by station managers that increase/maintain land condition. Greater awareness of natural resources and their role in appropriate management practices.

#### **Benefits**

Improved productivity, reduced production costs, increased natural resource security, reduced production risk, opening niche markets, diversifying income

#### **Case Study Name**

Number 3

Size of Property 27.000 ha Livestock Production Type

Beef cattle breeding producing weaners

#### Name of Tool(s)

"How often" as well as photo points to monitor restoration of degraded country

#### **Description of Tool**

Rainfall modelling tool obtained through DPI. It models rainfall and gives a prediction of likely rainfall allowing users to develop a feed budget. They are about to integrate it with another tool 'Stocktake'

#### Months of application

6 months

#### Natural resources monitored

This is mainly a tool which monitors pasture condition because the ultimate aim is to never let the Mitchell Grass get lower than about 14cms.

#### Frequency of use

Every six weeks

#### Data Management Process

Excel spread sheet that looks at total number of livestock and compares it to the kgs of dry matter on the property at the time.

#### **Changes in management practice**

Making decision regarding carrying capacity according to land condition. Examples of decision include fencing to different land types and managing each land type accordingly

#### **Benefits**

Improved productivity - More production with less damage to pastures; Reducing production risk (i.e. reduced erosion) - always matching livestock carrying capacity to available pasture without damage

#### **Case Study Name**

Number 4

Size of Property 27.000 ha Livestock Production Type Red meat (Breeding cows and weaners)

Name of Tool(s) GRASSCheque and photo points

#### **Description of Tool**

GRASSCheck is a pasture and ground cover monitoring tool developed and promoted by Qld DPI. Monitoring of pasture and groundcover is undertaken at approximately 20 reference sites on the property.

**Months of application** 

120 Natural resources monitored Groundcover as well as plant species

**Frequency of use** 

Every 2 years

#### Data Management Process

Manually through collection and storage of data sheets that can be referred to.

#### **Changes in management practice**

It has made us look more closely at what we do. We wish we had started it when we first bought the property 20 years ago. We can see how natural resources respond to different management actions.

#### **Benefits**

It is hard to say but we are running more cattle now through rotational grazing. Knowing more about the property has given us security and made us more adaptable to drought.

**Case Study Name** 

Number 5

Size of Property 1,500 Ha Livestock Production Type Beef cattle

Name of Tool(s)

Groundcover, pasture composition and soil composition monitoring tool/s

#### **Description of Tool**

Monitoring of ground cover levels / change. Composition of pastures Monitoring soil composition

Months of application

144 **Natural resources monitored** Vegetation, soil

Frequency of use Daily Data Management Process Excel spreadsheets

#### **Changes in management practice**

Various tools have resulted in a constant refinement of operations

#### **Benefits**

Sale stock are sold earlier, feedlot ready; Less animal health treatments; Paddocks are free of cattle well before onset of winter, retaining 100% groundcover, good bulk in order to limit frost damage; Paddocks have around 3 months recovery time.

**Case Study Name** 

Number 6

Size of Property 8,000ha Livestock Production Type Beef cattle breeding and fattening

Name of Tool(s) Photo point monitoring

#### **Description of Tool**

Involves taking photos between 2 steel posts 10m appart as well as taking four photos in four points of direction from the same post. Utilises two photo points in the rotational grazing (1041ha) part of the property and four in the cell grazing (1666 ha)

Months of application 60

Natural resources monitored Vegetation (pasture)

#### **Frequency of use**

Once annually sometimes twice a year

#### **Data Management Process**

Visual record

#### **Changes in management practice**

Much closer attention to maintaining ground cover and preventing land degradation. It has been a learning process."If we had kept doing what we were doing it would have been a disaster".

#### **Benefits**

Stocking rate has increased without damaging country , reduced land degradation

**Case Study Name** 

Number 7

Size of Property

3844ha

Livestock Production Type Beef breeding for store weaner production

Name of Tool(s) Scenario Projection

#### **Description of Tool**

Stocking rate is monitored directly at all times via land condition assessment. Combined with use of RCS Grazing Chart, SOI (Southern Oscillation Index), MJO (Madden Julian Oscillation), Australian Rainman phase forecasting tool

Months of application

120

#### Natural resources monitored

Pasture reserves Water quality and quantity

#### **Frequency of use**

Annually at weaning/oreg testing (April/May)

#### **Data Management Process**

Utilisation of Cattle book

#### Changes in management practice

Practices remain the same, but the level of informed decision-making has improved. Numbers can be adjusted in advance of the usual "too late" indicators like over-utilisation during calving, when reduction of numbers is an animal welfare issue.

#### **Benefits**

Cows retain body condition better, therefore weaning rates are improved. Weaning weights are also better protected; Reduces the need to replacement feed most spring times; Reduced production risks

**Case Study Name** 

Number 8

Size of Property 7900 ha Livestock Production Type Beef cattle

#### Name of Tool(s)

Grass check (modified to suit own property needs), Grazing charts, Soil tests

#### **Description of Tool**

Grass check grass species, ground cover, fixed point photos at end growing & dry seasons. Monitoring of soil moisture & infiltration after rain events. Soil tests (Albrecht) to identify nutrient status & organic matter.

#### Months of application

Grass check since 1997 . Two soil tests over last 20 years.

Natural resources monitored Soil, timber, water, wildlife

#### Frequency of use

Grass check twice yearly and soil testing as per needs

#### **Data Management Process**

All paper based recording & planning with data entered into computer for analysis purposes.

#### **Changes in management practice**

Timber treatment has been reduced substantially, grazing practices have changed & continue to change. Much emphasis is put on "water use efficiency & maximizing the amount of grass grown from any given rain event.

#### **Benefits**

Individual animal performance, more kgs beef per ha, increased water use efficiency; Better security of lease hold tenure, w; Diversification of income; Reduced production risks; Opening of niche markets

**Case Study Name** 

Number 9

Size of Property 180,000 ha Livestock Production Type Beef and sheep

Name of Tool(s) Vegmachine

#### **Description of Tool**

Vegmachine is a DPI&F led initiative involving use of satellite technology to measure long-term change in on-farm natural resources. VegMachine lets land managers access satellite data on-farm, in a form that aids management decisions.

Months of application

60

#### Natural resources monitored

Land condition. Allows interpretation of changes in soil and vegetation (using records dating from 1986)

#### **Frequency of use**

Annually

#### **Data Management Process**

All data is electronically available on a home based computer. Satellite imagery data is updated by Queensland DPI&F annually in October.

#### Changes in management practice

immediate or timely adjustments to stocking rates, ability to better understand impact of stocking rates and grazing according to land type, and positioning of fence lines

#### **Benefits**

Improved condition of soil and pastures and enabled a more uniform distribution of pasture utilisation across the property through robust monitoring information.